

AO 120 (Rev. 08/10)

<b>TO:</b> <b>Mail Stop 8</b> <b>Director of the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office</b> <b>P.O. Box 1450</b> <b>Alexandria, VA 22313-1450</b>	<b>REPORT ON THE</b> <b>FILING OR DETERMINATION OF AN</b> <b>ACTION REGARDING A PATENT OR</b> <b>TRADEMARK</b>
---	---

In Compliance with 35 U.S.C. § 290 and/or 15 U.S.C. § 1116 you are hereby advised that a court action has been filed in the U.S. District Court District of Delaware on the following

☐ Trademarks or ☒ Patents. ( ☐ the patent action involves 35 U.S.C. § 292.):

DOCKET NO. <b>14-478</b>	DATE FILED <b>4/17/2014</b>	U.S. DISTRICT COURT <b>District of Delaware</b>
PLAINTIFF <b>Pragmatus Mobile, LLC</b>		DEFENDANT <b>Casio Computer Co. Ltd., NEC Corporation of America, and NEC Casio Mobile Communications, Ltd</b>
PATENT OR TRADEMARK NO.	DATE OF PATENT OR TRADEMARK	HOLDER OF PATENT OR TRADEMARK
<b>1 US 8,466,795 B2</b>	<b>6/18/2013</b>	<b>Pragmatus Mobile, LLC</b>
<b>2</b>		
<b>3</b>		
<b>4</b>		
<b>5</b>		

In the above—entitled case, the following patent(s)/ trademark(s) have been included:

DATE INCLUDED	INCLUDED BY <input type="checkbox"/> Amendment <input type="checkbox"/> Answer <input type="checkbox"/> Cross Bill <input type="checkbox"/> Other Pleading	
PATENT OR TRADEMARK NO.	DATE OF PATENT OR TRADEMARK	HOLDER OF PATENT OR TRADEMARK
<b>1</b>		
<b>2</b>		
<b>3</b>		
<b>4</b>		
<b>5</b>		

In the above—entitled case, the following decision has been rendered or judgement issued:

DECISION/JUDGEMENT  <b>Stipulation of Dismissal</b>
---

CLERK <b>John A. Cerino</b>	(BY) DEPUTY CLERK	DATE <b>1-7-2016</b>
--------------------------------	-------------------	-------------------------

Copy 1—Upon initiation of action, mail this copy to Director    Copy 3—Upon termination of action, mail this copy to Director  
Copy 2—Upon filing document adding patent(s), mail this copy to Director    Copy 4—Case file copy

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

PRAGMATUS MOBILE, LLC,	)	
	)	
Plaintiff,	)	C.A. No. 14-cv-478-LPS
	)	
v.	)	
	)	
NEC CORPORATION OF AMERICA,	)	
and	)	
NEC MOBILE COMMUNICATIONS LTD.,	)	
	)	
Defendants.		

**STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER OF DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE**

Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(a), Plaintiff Pragmatus Mobile, LLC, ("Pragmatus") and NEC Corporation of America and NEC Mobile Communications Ltd (jointly "NEC"), having entered into a settlement and license agreement and each having executed the agreement, hereby stipulate to dismissal with prejudice of all of Pragmatus' claims against NEC in this action; and

Each party will bear its own costs and attorneys' fees.

IT IS SO STIPULATED, THROUGH COUNSEL OF RECORD.

Dated: January 4, 2016

Respectfully submitted,

FARNAN LLP

POTTER ANDERSON & CORROON LLP


/s/ Brian E. Farnan  
Brian E. Farnan (#4089)  
Michael J. Farnan (#5165)  
919 North Market Street, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Wilmington, DE 19801  
(302) 777-0300  
bfarnan@farnanlaw.com  
mfarnan@farnanlaw.com

/s/ David E. Moore  
Richard L. Horwitz (#2246)  
David E. Moore (#3983)  
Bindu A. Palapura (#5370)  
Hercules Plaza, 6th Floor  
1313 N. Market Street  
Wilmington, DE 19801  
Tel: (302) 984-6000  
rhorwitz@potteranderson.com  
dmoore@potteranderson.com  
bpalapura@potteranderson.com

*Attorneys for Plaintiff Pragmatus Mobile, LLC*

*Attorneys for Defendants NEC Corporation of  
America and NEC Mobile Communications Ltd*

IT IS SO ORDERED, this 6<sup>th</sup> day of January, 2016.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
United States District Judge